

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE DISTRICT OF MARYLAND**

CHELSEA GILLIAM, <i>at al.</i> ,	*	
	*	
Plaintiffs,	*	
	*	
v.	*	Civil Action No. 1:23-cv-1047-MJM
	*	
DEPT. OF PUBLIC SAFETY AND	*	
CORRECTIONAL SERVICES, <i>et al.</i> ,	*	
	*	
Defendants.	*	

\* \* \* \* \*

**TABLE OF CONTENTS**

INTRODUCTION .....2

FACTUAL AND PROCEDURAL BACKGROUND .....3

ARGUMENT .....8

I. Ms. Grey’s “Motion to Enforce” is Moot Because DPSCS Has  
Conducted the Ordered “Assessment of [Ms. Grey’s] Housing  
Placement” and Has Attempted to Locate A Replacement Over the  
Counter Facial Hair Inhibitor Product.....8

II. Ms. Grey Is Not Entitled to Additional Relief.....14

CONCLUSION.....20